



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,271	05/04/2006	Atsushi Matsunaga	062491	1952
38834 7590 05/31/2011 WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP 1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			EXAMINER PORTER, RACHEL L	
			ART UNIT 3626	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 05/31/2011	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentmail@whda.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/578,271

Applicant(s)

MATSUNAGA, ATSUSHI

Examiner

RACHEL PORTER

Art Unit

3626

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 March 2011.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4 and 7-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4,7-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/24/10
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed 3/11/11. Claims 1,4,7-50 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The IDS filed 11/24/10 has been entered and considered by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 7, 9, 13,17, 19, 22 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. Claims 7, 9, 13, 17, 19, 22 recite the limitation "said control server,". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. There is no control server recited in claim 1.

[claims 25-31]

Regarding claims 25-31, it is not clear which statutory class of invention the applicant intends to claim. Exemplary claim 25 recites "[a]n electronic medical information program for causing... a computer to function as: an input means for

inputting...; an accumulation means for accumulating....." The Examiner understands this claim to be distinct from a system claim which recites "a system comprising: input means for inputting...; accumulation means for accumulating..." (i.e. not a system claim invoking means-plus-function).

The present claim does not recite a program "comprising" or "including" input means, accumulation means, etc. The current claim language recites a program for causing a computer to function in a particular manner. The Examiner construes claims 25-31 as being drawn to program/ software, *per se*.

On page 26 of the 3/11/11 response, Applicant asserts that the claim element "the electronic information program for... causing a computer to function as " is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, it is unclear whether the claim element is a means (or step) plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because the claim describes *a computer* (sufficient structure) functioning as means+function. If applicant wishes to have the claim limitation treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant is required to:

(a) Amend the claim to include the phrase "means for" or "step for" in accordance with these guidelines: the phrase "means for" or "step for" must be modified by functional language and the phrase must **not** be modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function; or

(b) Show that the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and the claim does **not** recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed

function which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. For more information, see MPEP § 2181.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

6. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

[claims 25-31]

In the present case, the current language of claim 25 recites “an electronic information program,” or software, *per se*. Software does not fall into one of the four statutory classes for which a patent is granted. As drafted, the claim fails to define any structural and functional interrelationships between the code and other elements of a computer that permit the computer program’s function to be realized.

In light of the above, it is respectfully submitted that the claimed invention fails to recite to satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 26-31 contain similar deficiencies and fail to correct the deficiencies of claim 25, and are therefore also rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iliff (US 5935060A—referred to hereinafter as simply Iliff)

[claim 32] Iliff discloses a non-transitory computer readable recording media for storing an electronic medical information program that, when executing on a computer, causes the computer to perform the steps of (col. 4, lines 45-50):

- inputting patient's chief complaint information into a chief complaint information file; (Figures 1a,b, 2; col. 4, lines 14-50)
- inputting doctor's consultation information into a consultation information file; (Figures 1a,b, 2; col. 4, lines 14-50)
- accumulating the chief complaint information input into the chief complaint information file and the consultation information input into the consultation information file; (Figure 3; col. 6, lines 11-18—system databases; col. 8, lines 20-43: *the system can slow down to identify the patient and determine the Chief Complaint(s). Then the system invokes the Script Routing subsystem, whose job is to determine the patient's general problem area; The patient responses are also captured by the script engine, formatted for the script, and used to select the next question from the script. This interplay of the script and its script engine may consider the patient's medical record, the information provided so far during this session, and even some meta functions to determine the next question.*

- scoring, with respect to each date of consultation, the input latest chief complaint information and consultation information, and the accumulated past chief complaint information and consultation information, respectively; (col. 9, lines 1-13: each script of a set of time-based scripts may have somewhat different symptoms and weights, so that the author sets up time-based symptoms with extra weights for those diseases whose time-pattern matches the patient's. These weights are automatically added by the script engine as it runs. Each algorithm author must compose, assign, or calculate the questions and the appropriate values at (for instance) each hour as the disease progresses; See also col. 21, lines 26-60)
- automatically generating, based on said scoring, a list by which a temporal variation of the chief complaint information and consultation information can be viewed. (col. 22, lines 10-35)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 1,8-31,33-34, 37-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iliff in view (US 5935060A—referred to hereinafter as simply Iliff) in view of Iliff '093 (US 6569093B2)

[claims 1,33] Iliff discloses an electronic medical information system using a computer to manage electronic medical information, said electronic medical information system being equipped with a control server (Figures 1a,b) comprising:

- an input means for inputting, patient's chief complaint information into a chief complaint information file and for inputting doctor's consultation information associated with said patient's chief complaint information into a consultation information file; (Figures 1a,b, 2; col. 4, lines 14-50)
- an accumulation means for accumulating said chief complaint information and said consultation information; (Figure 3; col. 6, lines 11-18—system databases; col. 8, lines 20-43: *the system can slow down to identify the patient and determine the Chief Complaint(s). Then the system invokes the Script Routing subsystem, whose job is to determine the patient's general problem area; The patient responses are also captured by the script engine, formatted for the script, and used to select the next question from the script. This interplay of the script and its script engine may consider the patient's medical record, the information provided so far during this session, and even some meta functions to determine the next question.*)
- a calculation means for scoring, with respect to each date of consultation, the latest chief complaint information and consultation information input by said input means, and the past chief complaint information and consultation information accumulated by said accumulation means, respectively; (col. 9, lines 1-13: each script of a set of time-based scripts may have somewhat different symptoms and

weights, so that the author sets up time-based symptoms with extra weights for those diseases whose time-pattern matches the patient's. These weights are automatically added by the script engine as it runs. Each algorithm author must compose, assign, or calculate the questions and the appropriate values at (for instance) each hour as the disease progresses; See also col. 21, lines 26-60) and

- a generation means for automatically generating, based on said scores, a list by which a temporal variation of said chief complaint information and consultation information can be viewed. (col. 22, lines 10-35) and wherein said list generation means is configured to present said scores calculated by said calculation means in time series for respective symptoms of the chief complaint information and consultation information (col. 22, lines 10-35)
- a display means for displaying said list (Figure 1a,b; col. 4, lines 14-22)
- wherein said display means further displays a table in which a plurality of symptoms and degrees of respective symptoms are selectably listed, (col. 9, lines 21-30; 41-48) and
- the input means of said chief complaint information and consultation information automatically inputs one or more relevant symptoms and degrees of symptoms, in response to the user operation of selecting them from the table, into said chief complaint information file and the consultation information file, (col. 9, lines 19-35) and

- wherein said calculation means is configured to automatically calculate by aggregating relevant scores corresponding to the degree of the selected symptom, with each of said degrees of symptoms having a pre-defined score assigned thereto. (col. 13, lines 10-27; col. 21, lines 26-60)

Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, as explained in the rejection of claim 1, but does not expressly disclose a graphing feature.

Iliff'093 discloses a system wherein said list generation means is configured to automatically generate a graph by which temporal variation of the scores calculated by said calculation means can be viewed. (col. 25, lines 55-col. 26, line 25; col. 26, line 35-col. 28, line 32) At the time of the Applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Iliff with the teaching of Iliff'093 to generate graphs of temporal variation of symptoms. As suggested by Iliff'093, one would have been motivated to include this feature because the way in which a symptom varies over time has great diagnostic significance and various aspects of a symptom time series aid in the general ability to provide or refine a diagnosis. (col. 25, lines 55-65)

[claim 8, 37] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said generation means generates a list so that, when the patient is receiving consultation for a plurality of different diseases around the same period, the respective

diseases can be viewed on a same screen in a distinguishable manner. (Figure 14-15; col. 27, lines 1-26; col. 28, lines 6-32)

[claim 9, 38] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said: an input means inputs electronic chart information composed of one or more of information as to disease name, chief complaint reported at the consultation, history of present illness, anamnesis, anamneses of family members, observations of the doctor, inspection, treatment, dosage, injection/instillation, next-reservation (figures 1-2; col. 5, lines 13-20);

wherein said generation means generates an electronic chart based on the input information (col. 5, lines 51-67);

wherein a control server (see 112, 2nd paragraph) further comprises:

a storage means for storing the generated electronic chart into an electronic chart file (col. 6, lines 1-18) ; a reading means for setting and displaying, on said list, a link button associated with the electronic chart and reading the electronic chart from said electronic chart file when the link button is pressed (col. 4, lines 40-50); and

wherein a display means displays the electronic chart which has been read by said reading means. (col. 5, lines 21-25)

[claim 10, 39] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein the input means inputs handwritten information either in an imaged or

coded manner. (col. 4, lines 14-22-- input means and image capture include camera to gather information about the patient's symptoms).

[claims 11, 40] Iliff discloses he electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein the input means for inputting said electronic chart information comprises: an audio input means, for inputting audio information; and an image input means (such as a camera) for inputting image information, and wherein said (electronic chart) generation means generates an electronic chart so that the audio information and image information can be reproduced from the electronic chart as a part of the electronic chart.(col. 4, lines 14-22—input means and image capture include camera to gather information about the patient's symptoms; col. 4, lines 24-39—system also includes with voice recognition components)

[claim 12, 34] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein if the patient did not appear on the day reserved in a next-reservation information which has been input by said input means, said list generation means generates the list after clearing the field for the day with blank entries for complaints when patient did not show.(col. 22, lines 24-34- the process 490 could schedule a re-enter session to allow a length of time to pass and see if a diagnosis could be reached at a later time. At state 524, process 490 requests the patient to have tests performed and to consult the system again. These tests may include self-exam maneuvers, imaging modality tests (258, FIG. 2) or laboratory tests (260, FIG. 2). At

state 526, process 490 forwards any urgent results to a health care provider for immediate action.)

[claim 13, 41] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein (a) control server (see 112, 2nd rejection) comprises: a reading means for reading, from an electronic chart file, one or more of information as to inspection, treatment, dosage, and injection/instillation (col. 5, lines 21-25; col. 6, lines 1-18; Figures 1 a, b);

wherein said accumulation means accumulates the information which has been read out by said reading means, (col. 6, lines 11-18) and wherein said list includes a link button for each information on the list, said reading means reads corresponding information accumulated by said accumulation means when any of the link buttons is pressed, and the display means display the information which has been read out to be viewable. (col. 8, lines 63-col. 9, lines 16)

[claim 14, 42] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said control server comprises:

a storing means for storing, into a conventional chart file, a conventional chart converted into an electronic document format which precisely reproduces an original image (col. 6, lines 1-18); a reading means for reading, upon request for viewing the conventional chart file, a conventional chart requested for viewing from said

conventional chart file (col. 4, lines 40-50); and wherein the display means displays the conventional chart which has been read out by reading means. (col. 5, lines 21-25)

[claim 15, 43] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system

 wherein, a reading means further reads reading, from an electronic chart file, one or more of information as to inspection, treatment, dosage, and injection/instillation (col. 5, lines 21-25; col. 6, lines 1-18; Figures 1 a, b);

 wherein of the information recorded/stored in said conventional chart file, the information corresponding to said electronic chart information is accumulated by the accumulation means (col. 6, lines 11-18) and

 said (list) generation means generates a list including the temporal variation of each information of the accumulated conventional chart file accumulated by the accumulation means in time series so that it can be compared (in comparison) with the temporal variation of said graph. (col. 8, lines 63-col. 9, lines 16)

[claim 16, 44] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said input means of chief complaint information is implemented by transmitting data, to the control server, from a communication terminal used by the doctor for consultation via a LAN or a WAN, or from the patient's communication terminal via a communication network. (col. 3, lines 66-col. 4, line 50)

[claim 17,45] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said input means of consultation information is implemented by transmitting data from said consultation terminal to said control server. (col. 2, lines 24-42; col. 3, lines 66-col. 4, line 50)

[claim 18,46] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said patient's communication terminal transmits, via a communication network, vital information including one or more of the patient's weight, blood pressure, frequency of meals, content of meals, taking exercise or not, and sleeping hours to said control server, (col. 4, lines 1-39; col. 10, line 59-col. 11, line 5) wherein said input means receive the transmitted vital information and inputting it into a vital information file, (col. 4, lines 1-39) and said generation means generates said list by arranging the vital information in time series so that it can be compared with the temporal variation of said graph. (col. 8, lines 55-col. 9, line 15)

[claim 19, 47] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said input means is implemented by transmitting data from a consultation terminal to said control server via a LAN or a WAN. (col. 4, lines 1-50)

[claim 20] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said consultation terminal comprises an input means for inputting handwritten information in an imaged or coded form. (col. 4, 14-22—camera for inputting image information)

[claim 21] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said consultation terminal comprises said audio input means and said image

input means. (col. 4, lines 14-22—input means and image capture include camera to gather information about the patient's symptoms; col. 4, lines 24-39—system also includes with voice recognition components)

[claim 22, 48] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein, upon receiving a request, via a communication network such as a LAN, a WAN, or the Internet, for viewing said list or the information accumulated by said accumulation means from said consultation terminal, said patient's communication terminal, and a communication terminal of a viewer, said control server is configured to display the list or said each information to be viewable, within an authorized range, from the consultation terminal, the patient's communication terminal, and the viewer's communication terminal, respectively. (col. 4, lines 1-50;col. 5, lines 13-50)

[claim 23, 49] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said control server is configured such that, by letting an IC card reader/writer connected to a communication terminal read the IC card carried by a person permitted to use the communication terminal being one or more of said consultation terminal, said patient's communication terminal, and the viewer's communication terminal, said control server receives a signal requesting authorization via a communication network, or the Internet and performs said authorization. (col. 5, lines 51-col. 6, line 18—restricted authorized access for users; also col. 5, lines 13-18 – network interface cards for communication)

[claim 24, 50] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, wherein said control server is configured such that, by letting the reader/writer connected to the communication terminal of a viewer read said IC card carried by the patient and the IC card carried by the ambulance crew, the paramedic, or the doctor on duty, in case of emergency, the control server receives and authorizes a signal requesting authorization via a communication network, and displays at least said information of history of present illness and anamnesis so that they can be viewed with the viewer's communication terminal. col. 5, lines 51-col. 6, line 18—restricted authorized access for users; also col. 5, lines 13-18 —network interface cards for communication)

[claim 25] Iliff discloses an electronic medical information program for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as: an input means for inputting said patient's chief complaint information into its chief complaint information file and for inputting said doctor's consultation information into its consultation information file; an accumulation means for accumulating the chief complaint information input into the chief complaint information file and the consultation information input into the consultation information file; a calculation means for scoring, with respect to each date of consultation, the input latest chief complaint information and consultation information, and the accumulated past chief complaint information and consultation information, respectively; a generation means for automatically generating, based on the calculated scores, a list by which the temporal variation of the chief

complaint information and consultation information can be viewed. (See col. 4, line 1-50, and the citations provided in the rejection of claim 1)

[claim 26] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information program according to claim 25 for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as a display means for displaying said list. (col. 4, lines 14-22)

[claim 27] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information program according to claim 25 for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as: an input means for inputting said electronic medical information (col. 6, lines 1-18); a generation means for generating an electronic chart from the input electronic chart information; a storing means for storing the generated electronic chart in an electronic chart file; a reading means for setting/displaying link buttons associated with the electronic chart file on said list and reading, upon pressing of any of the link buttons, the electronic chart from said electronic chart file; (col. 4, lines 40-50); and a display means for displaying the electronic chart which has been read out by said reading means. (col. 5, lines 21-25)

[claim 28] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information program according to claim 25 for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as: a reading means for reading, from an electronic chart file, one or more of information as to inspection, treatment, dosage, and injection/instillation; (figures 1-2; col. 5, lines 13-20) an accumulation means for accumulating the information which has been read out; said list generation means for arranging the

temporal variation of each of the accumulated information in time series so that it can be compared with the temporal variation of said graph; a reading means for setting/displaying a link button for each information on the list and reading the corresponding information accumulated by said accumulation means upon pressing of any of the link buttons; and a display means for displaying the information which has been read out by said reading means. (See figures 1-2; col. 5, lines 13-25; col. 5, lines 51-67; col. 6, lines 1-18)

[claim 29] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information program according to claim 25 for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as: a storage means for storing, into a conventional chart file, said conventional chart converted into an electronic document format which precisely reproduces an original image (col. 6, lines 1-18); a reading means for reading, upon request for viewing the conventional chart file, a conventional chart requested for viewing from said conventional chart file (col. 4, lines 40-50); and a display means for displaying the conventional chart which has been read out to be viewable. (col. 5, lines 21-25)

[claim 30] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information program according to any claim 28 for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as: an accumulation means for accumulating, using the accumulation means the information corresponding to said electronic chart of the information recorded in said conventional chart file col. 6, lines 11-18); and a generation

means for generating said list by arranging the temporal variation of each information of the accumulated conventional chart in time series so that it can be compared with the temporal variation of said graph. (col. 8, lines 63-col. 9, lines 16)

[claim 31] Iliff discloses the electronic medical information program according to claim 25 for causing, for the purpose of introducing electronic medical information, a computer to function as: an input means for receiving, upon transmission of vital information including at least one or more of information of the patient's weight, blood pressure, frequency of meals, content of meals, taking exercise or not, sleeping hours, from said patient's communication terminal to the computer via a communication network such as the Internet, (col. 4, lines 1-39; col. 10, line 59-col. 11, line 5) the transmitted vital information and inputting it into a vital information file (col. 4, lines 1-39); and a generation means for generating said list by arranging the vital information in time series so that it can be compared with the temporal variation of said graph. (col. 8, lines 55-col. 9, line 15)

11. Claims 4, 7 and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iliff (US 5935060A—referred to hereinafter as simply Iliff) in view of Iliff'093, and in further view of Chikovani et al (US 6383135B1)

[claim 4, 35] Iliff and Iliff '093 teach the electronic medical information system according to claim 1 wherein including display means displaying a table (Figures 1a, e.g. display a

table (col. 9, lines 41-48)), but does not expressly disclose displaying an image simulating a human body with check buttons to describe symptoms.

Chikovani discloses a triage system configured to an image simulating a human body with check buttons on major regions thereof, and when any one of said symptoms is selected, the check button corresponding to the region which is developing the selected symptom is automatically checked. (Figures 3-4; col. 4, lines 32-53). At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Iliff and Iliff'093 with the teaching of Chikovani to provide an image of the body that allows users to select an area where symptom is located. As suggested by Chikovani, one would have been motivated to include this feature to allow the patient to more accurately visualize and identify the specific region where the symptoms are. (col. 2, lines 16-19)

[claim 7, 36] Iliff and Iliff '093 teach the electronic medical information system according to claim 1, further comprising a display means (Figures 1a, e.g. display a table (col. 9, lines 41-48)), but does not expressly disclose displaying an image simulating a human body with check buttons to describe symptoms.

Chikovani discloses a triage system including a display means when symptoms of the chief complaint information and consultation information are input by said input means, link buttons at the region where the respective symptoms are developing on the image simulating the human body; (a control means further comprising—see 112, 2nd paragraph rejection) a reading means for reading, from the chief complaint information

or consultation information accumulated in said accumulation means, each information of the region displaying the link button when the displayed link button is pressed; and a wherein said display means for displaying each information which has been read by said reading means. (Figures 3-4; col. 3, lines 44-50; col. 4, lines 32-53; col. 5, lines 6-17). At the time of the applicant's invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Iliff and Iliff'093 in combination. with the teaching of Chikovani to provide an image of the body that allows users to select an area where symptom is located. As suggested by Chikovani, one would have been motivated to include this feature to allow the patient to more accurately visualize and identify the specific region where the symptoms are. (col. 2, lines 16-19)

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments filed 3/11/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

(A) Applicant argues that claims 25-31 are statutory because of the "mean+function" language of claim 25.

In response, the claim as currently drafted does not properly invoke 112, sixth paragraph. Applicant does not claim an article of manufacture comprising: means for inputting, means for calculating.... The current claim language recites a program for causing a computer to function in a particular manner. Moreover, in using mean+function language, sufficient structure in describing the system components must not be used. The current claim language recites a computer.

The rejections under 35 USC 101 and 112, 2nd paragraph have been maintained, and, the Examiner construes claims 25-31 as being drawn to program/ software, *per se*. (B) Applicant argues features of the new and newly amended claim language. The examiner has provided new grounds of rejection the address the newly added features.

Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RACHEL PORTER whose telephone number is (571)272-6775. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 10-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Morgan can be reached on (571) 272-6773. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert Morgan/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 3626

/R. P./
Examiner, Art Unit 3626